



Patent  
Attorney Docket No. GEMS8081.255

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of : Dean et al.  
Serial No. : 10/065,247  
Filed : 9/27/2002  
For : EMBEDDED THERMAL CONTROL  
SYSTEM FOR HIGH FIELD MR  
SCANNERS  
Group Art No. : 3768  
Examiner : Francis J. Jaworski

**CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10**

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

☐ Mailing  
deposited with the US Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA  
22313-1450

☒ 37 CFR 1.8(a)  
with sufficient postage as first class mail ☐ 37 CFR 1.10  
As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No.

**Transmission**

☒ transmitted by facsimile to Fax No.: (571) 273-2885 addressed to the Patent and Trademark Office

Date: 6/16/06

Jessica A. Calaway  
Signature

Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT  
OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Allowability mailed May 25, 2006, Applicant submits the following remarks responsive to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

U.S. Serial No. 10/065,247

**REMARKS**

In response to the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, Applicant believes that a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in the present case as the file history sufficiently sets forth the patentable distinctions of claims 1-17.

The patentability of claims 1-17 lies in each claim as a whole. That is, a single particular element or feature of a claim does not define the claim's patentability, but rather, it is the combination of elements and the interconnection therebetween that define the invention. The claims cannot be considered to be limited in scope based on this brief statement by the Examiner. Applicant stands by its position previously set forth in the file history and specifically, that the amendment made by Examiner's Amendment dated May 25, 2006, only further clarifies what is already claimed by the "dynamic" limit, as previously argued.

Applicant does not acquiesce to the accuracy of the Examiner's statements in the Reasons for Allowance nor the Examiner's partial paraphrasing of the claim elements.

Entry of these remarks is appreciated and Applicant cordially invites the Examiner to respond, should the Examiner disagree.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy J. Ziolkowski  
Registration No. 38,368  
Direct Dial (262) 376-5139  
[tjz@zpspatents.com](mailto:tjz@zpspatents.com)

Dated: 6/14/06  
Attorney Docket No.: GEMS8081.255

**P.O. ADDRESS:**  
Ziolkowski Patent Solutions Group, SC  
14135 N. Cedarburg Rd.  
Mequon, WI 53097-1416  
262-376-5170